

On February 1, 2018 the Decision Statement issued under Section 54 of the Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012 (CEAA) for the BP Canada Energy Group ULC ("BP") Scotian Basin Exploration Drilling Project ("Project") was published. Condition 7.1 of the Decision Statement requires that an implementation schedule for the conditions contained within the Decision Statement be submitted to the Canada-Nova Scotia Offshore Petroleum Board (Board) and the Canada Environmental Assessment Agency ("Agency") at least 15 days prior to the commencement of drilling.

In accordance with Condition 7.1, BP has developed this implementation schedule to identify the commencement and completion dates for each activity related to the Decision Statement conditions which focuses on the drilling of the first exploration well - Aspy D-11 ("Aspy"). The implementation schedule has been structured by drilling activity phase (Pre-spud, Drilling, Well Testing, Well Suspension/Abandonment, and All Phases). The first implementation schedule was provided to the Board and Agency on March 29, 2018. The implementation schedule reflects a spud date of April 22, 2018 and well abandonment on December 11, 2018 (End of Well). All activities and reporting relating to the Decision Statement have now been completed for the Aspy well.

Note, the timelines within the implementation schedule only consider the Aspy well, and do not consider other wells, which may be drilled as part of future drilling campaigns.

Scotian Basin Exploration Drilling Project - Aspy D11					
CEAA Condition #	Condition	Project Phase	Commencement Date	Completion Date	Activity Description/Verification (March 21, 2019)
<b>PRE-SPUD PHASE</b>					
7.1	The Proponent shall submit an implementation schedule for conditions contained in this Decision Statement to the Board and the Agency at least 15 days prior to the start of drilling. The implementation schedule shall indicate the commencement and completion dates for each activity relating to conditions set out in this Decision Statement with sufficient detail to allow the Board to plan compliance verification activities.	Pre-spud	28-Mar-18	28-Mar-18	The Project implementation schedule was first submitted to the Board on March 28, 2018. The submission was made at least 15 days prior to the commencement of drilling on April 22, 2018.
		Well Suspension / Abandonment	28-Mar-18	21-Mar-19	This final implementation schedule was submitted to the Board on March 21, 2019.
2.9	The Proponent shall cause to be published on the Internet the reports and the executive summaries referred to in condition 2.8, the marine mammal observation requirements referred to in condition 3.9, the Fisheries Communications Plan referred to in condition 5.1, the wellhead abandonment strategy referred to in condition 5.2, the Spill Response Plan and the well control strategies and measures referred to in condition 6.2, the net environmental benefit analysis referred to in condition 6.7, the implementation schedule referred to in condition 7.1, and any update(s) or revision(s) to the above documents, upon submission of these documents to the parties referenced in the respective conditions. The Proponent shall notify Indigenous groups of the availability of these documents within 48 hours of their publication.	All	9-Apr-18	21-Mar-19	BP established an external internet site for posting of items within Condition 2.9. Canada Nova Scotia: <a href="https://www.bp.com/en_ca/canada/who-we-are/offshore/bp-in-nova-scotia.html">https://www.bp.com/en_ca/canada/who-we-are/offshore/bp-in-nova-scotia.html</a> .  Procedures to notify Indigenous and commercial fishers a minimum of two weeks prior to starting the drilling of each well were developed.  Initial internet posting occurred on April 9, 2018. The most recent updates were posted on March 8, 2019.  Indigenous groups were notified of the availability of documents within 48 hours of their publication.  This final implementation schedule will be the final document to be published on the BP Internet website.
3.5	The Proponent shall conduct a pre-drill survey with qualified individual(s) at each well site to confirm the presence or absence of any unexploded ordnance or other seabed hazards. If any such ordnance or seabed hazard is detected, the Proponent shall consult with the Board prior to commencing drilling to determine an appropriate course of action.	Pre-spud	14-Apr-18	17-Apr-18	The pre-drill remotely operated vehicle (ROV) survey was conducted on April 14, 2018. A geohazard specialist completed review of the ROV footage on April 17, 2018 and determined that no unexploded ordnance or other seabed hazards were observed.
3.6	The Proponent shall conduct a pre-drill survey with qualified individual(s) at each well site to confirm the presence or absence of any aggregations of habitat-forming corals or sponges or any other environmentally sensitive features. The Proponent shall complete the survey prior to commencing each well site drilling and shall report the results of the survey for each well site to the Board within 48 hours of the completion of each survey.	Pre-spud	14-Apr-18	16-Apr-18	The pre-drill remotely operated vehicle (ROV) survey was conducted on April 14, 2018 and the survey report was submitted to the Board on April 16, 2018.
3.7	If the survey(s) conducted in accordance with condition 3.6 confirms the presence of aggregations of habitat-forming corals or sponges, or if other environmentally sensitive features are identified by a qualified individual, the Proponent shall move the drilling unit to avoid affecting them, unless not technically feasible. If not technically feasible, the Proponent shall consult with the Board prior to commencing drilling to determine an appropriate course of action, including any additional mitigation measures, to the Board's satisfaction.	Pre-spud	14-Apr-18	14-Apr-18	The pre-drill remotely operated vehicle (ROV) survey conducted on April 14, 2018 did not detect the presence of aggregations of habitat-forming corals or sponges, or any other environmentally sensitive features.
3.13	The Proponent shall develop and implement, in consultation with Fisheries and Oceans Canada and the Board, follow-up requirements to verify the accuracy of the environmental assessment as it pertains to underwater noise levels. As part of the development of these follow-up requirements, the Proponent shall determine how underwater noise levels will be monitored through field measurement by the Proponent during the drilling program and shall provide that information to the Board at least 30 days prior to the start of the drilling program. If drilling occurs between January 1 and April 30, the Proponent shall consult with Fisheries and Oceans Canada and the Board prior to drilling to determine if additional monitoring of underwater noise levels and adverse environmental effects caused by the drilling unit in Northern Bottlenose Whale ( <i>Hyperoodon ampallatus</i> ) critical habitat is required.	Pre-spud	20-Feb-18	19-Mar-18	Initial consultation occurred between BP, the Board and DFO on February 20, 2018 to seek input on the development and scope of an acoustic monitoring plan to address underwater sound levels and environmental effects to the Northern Bottlenose Whale critical habitat area should drilling activities commence prior to April 30. The proposed acoustic monitoring plan was submitted by BP to the Board and DFO on February 27, 2018. Changes were reflected in the draft plan and a final plan was submitted to the Board and DFO on March 19, 2018.

Scotian Basin Exploration Drilling Project - Aspy D11					
CEAA Condition #	Condition	Project Phase	Commencement Date	Completion Date	Activity Description/Verification (March 21, 2019)
4.5	The Proponent shall develop, prior to the start of the drilling program and in consultation with Indigenous groups, Environment and Climate Change Canada and the Board, follow-up requirements to verify the accuracy of the environmental assessment as it pertains to migratory birds and to determine the effectiveness of the mitigation measures implemented by the Proponent to avoid harm to migratory birds, their eggs and nests, including the mitigation measures used to comply with conditions 4.1 to 4.4. As part of the follow-up, the Proponent shall monitor the drilling unit and platform supply vessels for the presence of stranded birds. The Proponent shall implement these follow-up requirements for the duration of the drilling program.	Pre-spud	24-Jan-18	23-Mar-18	BP initiated contact with Environment and Climate Change Canada-Canadian Wildlife Service (ECCC-CWS) on January 24, 2018 to determine the process for capturing and handling of stranded migratory birds. On January 25, 2018 ECCC-CWS provided the permit application for "Capture and Handling of Migratory Birds" as well as the October 2016 <i>Procedures for handling and documenting stranded birds encountered on infrastructure offshore Atlantic Canada</i> and guidance documents. A permit application was submitted on February 15, 2018 and subsequently a CWS Scientific Permit was issued on March 21, 2018 and executed on March 23, 2018.
		Drilling	22-Apr-18	11-Dec-18	BP implemented the <i>Procedures for handling and documenting stranded birds encountered on infrastructure offshore Atlantic Canada</i> and guidance documents during offshore drilling activities.
		Well Suspension / Abandonment	12-Dec-18	10-Feb-19	The results of this program were submitted to ECCC-CWS on January 29, 2019 and to the Board on February 10, 2019.
5.1	The Proponent shall develop and implement a Fisheries Communication Plan in consultation with Indigenous and commercial fishers. The Proponent shall include in the plan procedures to notify Indigenous and commercial fishers a minimum of two weeks prior to starting the drilling of each well, procedures to communicate with these fishers in the event of an accident or malfunction, and procedures to communicate the results of the monitoring referred to in condition 6.9. The Proponent shall develop the plan prior to drilling and implement it for the duration of the drilling program.	Pre-spud	8-Nov-17	7-Mar-18	BP prepared a Fisheries Communication Plan (FCP) and an Indigenous Fisheries Communication Plan (IFCP) for commercial fisheries stakeholders and Indigenous groups, respectively. These plans can be found on BP's Internet website ( <a href="https://www.bp.com/en_ca/canada/who-we-are/offshore/bp-in-nova-scotia/associated-documents.html">https://www.bp.com/en_ca/canada/who-we-are/offshore/bp-in-nova-scotia/associated-documents.html</a> ).  Prior to drilling, the draft Fisheries Communication Plan containing proposed protocols for operational and emergency response communications were shared with Indigenous groups and commercial fisheries associations for comment and to obtain their appropriate contact information. Bi-weekly communication via email was proposed by BP during routine operations, with a commitment to begin regular operational bulletins two weeks prior to drilling commencement. A separate protocol was proposed for emergency response communications in the unlikely event of a Tier 2 or Tier 3 incident or spill. The Plan also described general engagement processes, such as quarterly information meetings to discuss mitigation, monitoring, training and employment, and contracting and procurement opportunities.
		Drilling	18-Apr-18	9-Jan-19	Operational Bulletins distributed from the period of rig mobilization to well decommissioning and abandonment. Operational Bulletins included general information on the status of Project operations and specific details such as associated vessel/rig contact information, rig location, and BP personnel contact information in case potentially affected and interested parties have concerns or impacts to report. Project status updates can be found on BP's Internet website ( <a href="https://www.bp.com/en_ca/canada/who-we-are/offshore/bp-in-nova-scotia/project-status-update.html">https://www.bp.com/en_ca/canada/who-we-are/offshore/bp-in-nova-scotia/project-status-update.html</a> ).  In addition to issuing Operational Bulletins, BP met with Indigenous representatives and fisheries stakeholders through the Fisheries Advisory Committee at the Board in May 2018 and September 2018. Fisheries Advisory Committee membership comprises representatives from various fishing associations, regulatory agencies, and Indigenous groups. For more information on meetings with Indigenous groups, refer to conditions 2.4 and 6.8
6.2	The Proponent shall prepare and submit a Spill Response Plan and well control strategies and measures to the Board for acceptance at least 90 days prior to drilling.	Pre-spud	1-Nov-17	17-Nov-17	An Oil Spill Response Plan was submitted to the Board on November 2, 2017. On December 6 - 7, 2017 BP conducted a capability demonstration exercise in Halifax, NS. The exercise scenario involved a loss-of-well control event, with one of the key objectives being to evaluate, and make improvements to, the draft Oil Spill Response Plan. Comments received from exercise participants, including the Board, were incorporated into an updated Oil Spill Response Plan which was submitted on February 13, 2018. Final comments and acceptance of the Oil Spill Response Plan from the Board were received on March 29, 2018. Additional well control contingency provisions submitted to the Board in November 2017 included the ROV Emergency BOP Intervention Plan, the Capping and Containment Response Plan, and Relief Well Plan.
6.3	The well control strategies and measures referred to in condition 6.2 shall include the drilling of a relief well in the event that well control cannot be re-established following a sub-sea well blowout.	Pre-spud	8-Nov-17	8-Mar-18	A Relief Well Plan was submitted to the Board on November 8, 2017. A final Relief Well Plan was submitted to the Board on March 8, 2018.
6.4	The Spill Response Plan shall include: 6.4.1 procedures to respond to a spill of any substance that may cause adverse environmental effects (e.g. spill containment and recovery); 6.4.2 measures for wildlife response, protection and rehabilitation (e.g. collection and cleaning of marine mammals, migratory birds, sea turtles and species at risk) and measures for shoreline protection and clean-up.	Pre-spud	2-Nov-17	29-Mar-18	An Oil Spill Response Plan was submitted to the Board on November 2, 2017. An updated Oil Spill Response Plan was submitted to the Board on February 13, 2018 after incorporating feedback obtained from the capability demonstration exercise in December 2017. Final comments and acceptance of the Oil Spill Response Plan were received from the Board on March 29, 2018.  A separate Wildlife Response Plan was prepared, identifying wildlife resources at risk, and describing the roles and responsibilities for wildlife response planning, monitoring, capture, transport and rehabilitation operations. A Wildlife Response Plan was submitted to the Board on November 3, 2017. An updated Wildlife Response Plan was submitted to the Board on February 13, 2018. Final comments and acceptance of the Wildlife Response Plan were received from the Board on March 29, 2018.

Scotian Basin Exploration Drilling Project - Aspy D11					
CEAA Condition #	Condition	Project Phase	Commencement Date	Completion Date	Activity Description/Verification (March 21, 2019)
6.5	The Proponent shall conduct an exercise of the Spill Response Plan prior to the commencement of drilling and adjust the plan to the satisfaction of the Board to address any deficiencies identified during the exercise.	Pre-spud	6-Dec-17	29-Mar-18	<p>A series of exercises were conducted to test and validate various aspects of the Oil Spill Response Plan, Wildlife Response Plan, and Source Control Contingency Plans prior to commencement of drilling.</p> <p>The first of these exercises, conducted November 1, 2017, in BP's Halifax, NS office, consisted of the initial few hours of a loss-of-well control scenario, with the objective of exercising the notification and mobilization aspects of the plan. This was followed by a three-day "logistics" exercise in Houston, TX, based on the same loss-of-well-control scenario, to validate the logistical aspects of the Capping and Containment Plan. The final portion of this three-part exercise was a two-day capability demonstration exercise in Halifax on December 6 - 7, 2017. This was a continuation of the same loss-of-well-control scenario in the other exercises, with the main objective being to evaluate, and make improvements to, the initial Spill Response Plan that had been submitted to the Board. The 150+ attendees to this exercise included representatives from the Board, ECCC, DFO, Canadian Coast Guard, and several other government agencies and spill response organizations. Two representatives from the KMKNO were also in attendance as observers. Comments received from exercise participants, including the Board, were incorporated into an updated Spill Response Plan which was submitted on February 13, 2018. Final comments and acceptance of the Plan from the Board were received on March 29, 2018.</p>
6.7	The Proponent shall undertake a net environmental benefit analysis to consider use of dispersants against other spill response options to identify those techniques that will provide for the best opportunities to minimize environmental consequences, and provide it to the Board for review at least 90 days prior to drilling.	Pre-spud	30-Aug-17	20-Nov-17	<p>A Spill Impact Mitigation Assessment (SIMA, sometimes referred to as a Net Environmental Benefit Analysis) was conducted by BP as part of the contingency planning process for exploratory drilling in Scotian Basin. The SIMA is a tool to help evaluate scientific, policy, and stakeholder inputs to arrive at reasoned decisions as to which response tool(s) should be used under a particular set of circumstances, with the goal of minimizing overall harm once a spill has occurred.</p> <p>A draft SIMA was submitted to the Board on August 30, 2017. A meeting was held with the Board and the National Environmental Emergencies Centre's Environmental Emergencies Science Table (the "Science Table") on September 6, 2017 in Montreal to review the draft SIMA. Participants included representatives from Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Environment and Climate Change Canada, Canadian Wildlife Service, Canadian Coast Guard, Transport Canada, and Natural Resources Canada. A final SIMA report addressing comments made by the Science Table and the Board was submitted to the Board on November 20, 2017 and was posted on the Board's and BP's websites for public access.</p> <p>The final report is available on the BP Internet website as per Condition 2.9.</p>
6.8	The Proponent shall consult with Indigenous groups during the development of the Spill Response Plan and well control strategies and measures, and provide the approved versions to Indigenous groups.	Pre-spud	14-Sep-17	Apr-18	<p>BP is part of a broader initiative of industry operators in Atlantic Canada that have engaged in consultation and dialogue with Indigenous groups related to spill prevention and emergency response, well control strategies and spill response measures since 2014. During the environmental assessment process, BP met with interested Indigenous groups and discussed spill prevention and emergency response, including well control strategies and spill response tactics. In February 2018, BP met with Indigenous groups which expressed an interest in meeting. BP met with representatives from KMKNO, Sipekne'katik First Nation, MTI, Woodstock First Nation, and WNNB (including member communities) to give a Project status update and discuss the following agenda items:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Well Abandonment Plan</li> <li>• Wellhead abandonment strategy</li> <li>• Oil Spill Response Plan</li> <li>• Well control strategies and measures</li> <li>• Follow-up programs</li> <li>• Indigenous Fisheries Communications Plan</li> </ul> <p>Informational handouts on these topics were distributed to meeting participants as well as to Millbrook First Nation and MCPEI (these groups received an invitation to meet with BP but did not express an interest in doing so). A copy of the Oil Spill Response Plan was also provided to all of these groups. In April 2018, the Oil Spill Response Plan was also posted on BP's website for Nova Scotia under Associated Documents (<a href="https://www.bp.com/en_ca/canada/who-we-are/offshore/bp-in-nova-scotia/associated-documents.html">https://www.bp.com/en_ca/canada/who-we-are/offshore/bp-in-nova-scotia/associated-documents.html</a>) and Indigenous groups were notified. As noted in condition 6.5, representatives from the KMKNO also participated in a capability demonstration exercise of the Oil Spill Response Plan on December 6 - 7, 2017.</p>

Scotian Basin Exploration Drilling Project - Aspy D11					
CEAA Condition #	Condition	Project Phase	Commencement Date	Completion Date	Activity Description/Verification (March 21, 2019)
<b>DRILLING PHASE</b>					
2.5	The Proponent shall submit the information identified in condition 2.4 to the Board prior to the implementation of each follow-up requirement. The Proponent shall update that information in consultation with Indigenous groups during the implementation of each follow-up requirement, and shall provide the updated information to the Board and to Indigenous groups within 30 days of the information being updated.	Drilling	2-Feb-18	8-Mar-19	Implementation plans for each follow-up and monitoring program were shared with Indigenous groups via email and posted publicly on BP's website ( <a href="https://www.bp.com/en_ca/canada/who-we-are/offshore/bp-in-nova-scotia/associated-documents.html">https://www.bp.com/en_ca/canada/who-we-are/offshore/bp-in-nova-scotia/associated-documents.html</a> ). Notification of postings of all follow-up and monitoring plans was made to Indigenous groups via email within 48 hours of posting. For a description of consultation with Indigenous groups on the information identified in condition 2.4, please see response for condition 2.4.
2.6	The Proponent shall, where follow-up is a requirement of a condition set out in this Decision Statement: 2.6.1 undertake monitoring and analysis according to the information determined pursuant to condition 2.4 to verify the accuracy of the environmental assessment as it pertains to the particular condition and/or to determine the effectiveness of any mitigation measure(s); 2.6.2 determine whether modified or additional mitigation measures are required based on the monitoring and analysis undertaken pursuant to condition 2.6.1; 2.6.3 if modified or additional mitigation measures are required pursuant to condition 2.6.2, implement these mitigation measures in a timely manner and monitor them pursuant to condition 2.6.1.	Drilling	13-Apr-18	8-Mar-19	BP conducted the following follow-up programs: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fish and Fish Habitat – Synthetic-based Drilling Fluid Measurement</li> <li>• Fish and Fish Habitat – Drill Waste Deposition</li> <li>• Marine Mammals and Sea Turtles – Acoustic Monitoring Program</li> <li>• Stranded Birds Monitoring Program</li> </ul> Results from the above referenced programs are available on the BP Internet site ( <a href="https://www.bp.com/en_ca/canada/who-we-are/offshore/bp-in-nova-scotia/associated-documents.html">https://www.bp.com/en_ca/canada/who-we-are/offshore/bp-in-nova-scotia/associated-documents.html</a> ) as either a standalone report and/or within the CEAA Conditions Closure Report.
2.7	For each condition where follow-up requirements include consultation with Indigenous groups, the Proponent shall discuss with each Indigenous group opportunities for their participation in the analysis of the follow-up results and the selection of any modified or additional mitigation measures, as set out pursuant to condition 2.6.	Drilling	19-Jan-18	7-Feb-19	Refer to Condition 2.4
3.1	The Proponent shall treat all waste material discharged from offshore drilling into the marine environment in adherence with the <i>Offshore Waste Treatment Guidelines</i> , issued jointly by the National Energy Board, the Canada-Newfoundland and Labrador Offshore Petroleum Board and the Canada-Nova Scotia Offshore Petroleum Board, and in accordance with the requirements of the <i>Fisheries Act</i> , the <i>Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994</i> and any other applicable legislation.	Drilling	8-Apr-18	11-Dec-18	Discharges from the MODU into the marine environment were managed in accordance with the Project Environmental Protection Plan (EPP) and Project Waste Management Plan (WMP) to achieve compliance with the <i>Offshore Waste Treatment Guidelines</i> , and where applicable, the requirements of the <i>Fisheries Act</i> , the <i>Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994</i> , and the International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships (MARPOL).  There were two unplanned releases during drilling. See condition 6.9 response section for a summary of these events.
3.2	The Proponent shall dispose of spent or excess synthetic-based drilling muds that cannot be re-used at an approved on-shore facility in Canada.	Drilling	22-Apr-18	11-Dec-18	As per the Project EPP, once drilling was completed, remaining unused synthetic-based drilling mud (SBM) was brought back to shore, where it was reconditioned and stored for future use. Spent SBM that could not be reconditioned for reuse, was brought back to shore for disposal at an approved onshore facility in Atlantic Canada.
3.3	The Proponent shall apply the <i>Offshore Chemical Selection Guidelines for Drilling &amp; Production Activities on Frontier Lands</i> , issued jointly by the National Energy Board, the Canada-Newfoundland and Labrador Offshore Petroleum Board and the Canada-Nova Scotia Offshore Petroleum Board, to select lower toxicity chemicals that would be used and discharged into the marine environment, including drilling fluid constituents, and shall submit any necessary risk justification as per Step 10 of the Guidelines to the Board for acceptance prior to use.	Drilling	22-Apr-18	11-Dec-18	BP applied the <i>Offshore Chemical Selection Guidelines for Drilling &amp; Production Activities on Frontier Lands</i> for offshore chemical selection. Prior to commencement of drilling, drilling fluid and cementing chemical inventories with chemical selection evaluation were provided to the Board. As required, risk justification (i.e. chemical hazard assessments) per Step 10 of the <i>Offshore Chemical Selection Guidelines for Drilling &amp; Production Activities on Frontier Lands</i> were submitted to the Board.
3.4	The Proponent shall treat all discharges from platform supply vessels into the marine environment in accordance with the <i>Fisheries Act</i> and the <i>International Maritime Organization's International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships</i> .	Drilling	8-Apr-18	End of Well +demobilization	All platform supply vessels (PSVs) were compliant with <i>International Maritime Organization's International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships</i> (MARPOL). Each PSV had an International Oil Prevention Certificate and International Sewage Pollution Prevention Certificate issued by a third-party certifying authority demonstrating compliance with MARPOL. In addition, PSVs underwent BP's internal audit process, as well as additional inspections/audits inclusive of the Board pre-authorization inspection process.
3.8	The Proponent shall apply Fisheries and Oceans Canada's <i>Statement of Canadian Practice with Respect to the Mitigation of Seismic Sound in the Marine Environment</i> during the planning and the conduct of vertical seismic surveys. In doing so the Proponent shall establish a safety zone of a minimum radius of 650 metres from the seismic sound source.	Drilling	25-Jul-18	Not Applicable	BP retained third-party services support for marine mammal observations and passive acoustic monitoring during the vertical seismic profiling (VSP) however, after drilling to total depth at Aspy, BP decided to not conduct a VSP survey (refer to condition 3.9 for more information).

Scotian Basin Exploration Drilling Project - Aspy D11					
CEAA Condition #	Condition	Project Phase	Commencement Date	Completion Date	Activity Description/Verification (March 21, 2019)
3.9	The Proponent shall develop, in consultation with Fisheries and Oceans Canada and the Board, a marine mammal monitoring plan that shall be submitted to the Board at least 30 days prior to the commencement of any vertical seismic survey. The Proponent shall implement the plan during the conduct of vertical seismic surveys. As part of the plan, the Proponent shall develop and implement marine mammal observation requirements, including the use of passive acoustic monitoring or equivalent technology and visual monitoring by marine mammal observers throughout vertical seismic surveys. The Proponent shall submit the results of the activities undertaken as part of the marine mammal observation requirements to the Board within 30 days of the end of the vertical seismic surveys.	Drilling	1-May-18	Not Applicable	In preparation for the VSP survey, BP and RPS (contractor hired to conduct marine mammal monitoring services) prepared a <i>Marine Mammal and Sea Turtle Monitoring, Mitigation and Reporting Management Plan during Vertical Seismic Profiling</i> (MMMP). The MMMP was developed in consideration of requirements of the <i>Statement of Canadian Practice with Respect to the Mitigation of Seismic Sound in the Marine Environment</i> , the mitigative commitments made during the environmental assessment process, and input received from the Board, DFO and Indigenous groups. The Plan describes the marine mammal and sea turtle monitoring program, including personnel requirements, monitoring requirements, mitigation protocols, safety requirements, and reporting requirements.  A draft MMMP was submitted to the Board and DFO on May 29, 2018. An updated MMMP (inclusive of Board and DFO comments) was prepared and shared via email on August 2, 2018 with the KMKNO, Sipekne'katik First Nation, Millbrook First Nation, MCPEI, MTI, WNNB (and member communities), and Woodstock First Nation. Comments were received from the KMKNO, MTI, and WNNB and the MMMP was finalized on September 11, 2018 and submitted to the Board and DFO. Notification of MMMP finalization and posting on BP's website was emailed to Indigenous groups.  After drilling to total depth at Aspy, BP decided to not conduct a VSP survey so the MMMP was not implemented and thus has subsequently been removed from the publicly available website.
3.10	The Proponent shall implement measures to prevent or reduce the risks of collisions between platform supply vessels and marine mammals and sea turtles, including: 3.10.1 requiring platform supply vessels to use established shipping lanes, where they exist; 3.10.2 implementing a maximum speed limit of 12 knots for platform supply vessels, reducing speed limit to ten knots when within the Project area, and to seven knots when marine mammals or sea turtles are observed or reported within 400 metres of a platform supply vessel, except if not feasible for safety reasons; 3.10.3 prohibiting platform supply vessels from entering critical habitat for the North Atlantic Right Whale ( <i>Eubalaena glacialis</i> ) and Northern Bottlenose whale ( <i>Hyperoodon ampullatus</i> ) as defined in Fisheries and Oceans Canada's <i>Recovery Strategy for the North Atlantic Right Whale (Eubalaena glacialis) in Atlantic Canadian Waters</i> and Fisheries and Oceans Canada's <i>Recovery Strategy for the Northern Bottlenose Whale (Hyperoodon ampullatus)</i> , Scotian Shelf population, in Atlantic Canadian Waters, except if not feasible for safety reasons; 3.10.4 prohibiting platform supply vessels from operating within a radius of two kilometres from Sable Island, except if not feasible for safety reasons.	Drilling	8-Apr-18	End of Well +demobilization	These mitigation measures were included in the Project EPP as well as the Marine Operations Manual for the Project. These measures were also communicated as part of the induction process for marine crews.  Project PSVs followed an intended route (i.e., the most direct path to the MODU) where possible, which incorporated existing shipping lanes where applicable. Any deviation in route was noted in a Vessel Passage Plan prior to departure. The PSVs did not exceed a maximum speed limit of 12 knots and reduced the maximum speed to 10 knots within the Project Area.
3.11	The Proponent shall report any collisions of a platform supply vessel with marine mammals or sea turtles to the Board, to Fisheries and Oceans Canada's Canadian Coast Guard Regional Operations Centre, and any other relevant authorities as soon as reasonably practicable but no later than 24 hours following the collision, and notify Indigenous groups in writing.	Drilling	8-Apr-18	End of Well +demobilization	There were no collisions with marine mammals or sea turtles during the drilling of the exploration well.
3.12	The Proponent shall develop and implement follow-up requirements to verify the accuracy of the predictions made during the environmental assessment as it pertains to fish and fish habitat, including marine mammals and sea turtles, and to determine the effectiveness of mitigation measures identified under conditions 3.1 to 3.10. As part of these follow-up requirements, the Proponent shall: 3.12.1 measure the concentration of synthetic-based drilling fluids retained on discharged drilling cuttings as described in the <i>Offshore Waste Treatment Guidelines</i> to verify that the discharge meets the limits set out in the Guidelines and in accordance with the requirements of the <i>Fisheries Act</i> and report the results to the Board; 3.12.2 collect drill waste deposition information after drilling of the first well is complete to verify the drill waste deposition modeling predictions and report the information collected to the Board.	Drilling	6-May-18	11-Feb-19	Drilling discharges were treated and discharged in compliance with the <i>Offshore Waste Treatment Guidelines</i> and therefore are also considered compliant with the <i>Fisheries Act</i> . With respect to the measurement of synthetic-based muds (SBM) on drill cuttings for the duration of the well, there were a total of 32 days in which SBM-associated drill cuttings were discharged. There were no exceedances of the retained synthetic oil-on-cuttings limit of $\leq 6.9$ g/100 g oil on wet solids based on a 48-hour mass weighted average during the drilling of the well. The 48-hour mass weighted average of synthetic oil-on-cuttings ranged from 1.77 g to 6.17 g/100 g oil on wet solids.  A post-drill survey was conducted on December 11, 2018 to visually verify the drill waste deposition modeling predictions. The Sediment Deposition Survey Report was made available February 11, 2019 on the BP Internet website ( <a href="https://www.bp.com/en_ca/canada/who-we-are/offshore/bp-in-nova-scotia/associated-documents.html">https://www.bp.com/en_ca/canada/who-we-are/offshore/bp-in-nova-scotia/associated-documents.html</a> ).
4.1	The Proponent shall conduct Designated Project activities in a manner that protects migratory birds and avoids harming, killing or disturbing migratory birds or destroying, disturbing or taking their nests or eggs. In this regard, the Proponent shall take into account Environment and Climate Change Canada's <i>Avoidance Guidelines</i> . The Proponent's actions when taking into account the <i>Avoidance Guidelines</i> shall be in compliance with the <i>Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994</i> and with the <i>Species at Risk Act</i> .	Drilling	24-Jan-18	End of Well +demobilization	BP initiated contact with ECCC-CWS on January 24, 2018 to determine the process for capturing and handling of stranded migratory birds. ECCC-CWS provided the permit application for " <i>Capture and Handling of Migratory Birds</i> " as well as Procedures for Handling and Documenting Stranded Birds Encountered on Infrastructure Offshore Atlantic Canada (ECCC 2016). On March 21, 2018 BP received a CWS Scientific Permit (permit no. SC4023) from ECCC-CWS under the Migratory Birds Regulations made pursuant to the <i>Migratory Birds Convention Act, 1994</i> to authorize the collection of dead migratory birds and the capture, transfer and release of live migratory birds. Refer to condition 4.5 for more detail on BP's stranded bird monitoring program.
4.4	The Proponent shall require supply helicopters to fly at altitudes greater than 300 metres above sea level, and at lateral distances greater than two kilometres from active migratory birds colonies and Sable Island, except for approach and landing maneuvers and if not feasible for safety reasons.	Drilling	8-Apr-18	End of Well +demobilization	This mitigative requirement was communicated during the required regulatory induction training of BP and contractor staff prior to commencement of drilling operations and was incorporated in the route guide for Canadian Helicopters (BP's helicopter contractor on the Scotian Basin Exploration Project).

Scotian Basin Exploration Drilling Project - Aspy D11					
CEAA Condition #	Condition	Project Phase	Commencement Date	Completion Date	Activity Description/Verification (March 21, 2019)
6.1	The Proponent shall take all reasonable measures to prevent accidents and malfunctions that may result in adverse environmental effects and shall implement emergency response procedures and contingency plans developed in relation to the Designated Project in the event of an accident or malfunctions.	Drilling	8-Apr-18	11-Dec-18	BP's Incident Management Plan describes the overarching response measures to an emergency event and serves as the umbrella document containing the plans that form the Project's emergency response documentation. The Oil Spill Response Plan includes notification and response procedures to be implemented in the event of a spill. Supplementing the Oil Spill Response Plan are Source Control Contingency plans which include an ROV Emergency BOP Intervention Plan, Relief Well Plan, and Capping and Containment Response Plan which provide specific details on how to respond to major spill events such as a blowout incident.
6.9	In the event of a spill or unplanned release of oil or any other substance that may cause adverse environmental effects, the Proponent shall notify the Board and any other relevant authorities as soon as possible and implement its Spill Response Plan, including: 6.9.1 monitoring the adverse environmental effects of the spill on components of the marine environment to be accepted by the Board until specific endpoints identified in consultation with expert government departments are achieved. As applicable, monitoring may include: 6.9.1.1 sensory testing of seafood for taint, and chemical analysis for oil concentrations and any other contaminants, as applicable; 6.9.1.2 measuring levels of contamination in recreational and commercial fish species with results integrated into a human health risk assessment to determine the fishing area closure status; 6.9.1.3 monitoring for marine mammals, sea turtles and birds for visible signs of contamination or oiling and reporting results to the Board.	Drilling	22-Jun-18	11-Dec-18	During the course of drilling the Aspy well there were no accidents or malfunctions that required implementation of the Oil Spill Response Plan. However, there were two incidents of unplanned releases during the duration of the Project.  On June 22, 2018 an unplanned release of synthetic-based mud (SBM) occurred during drilling operations. Drilling operations were suspended and the Board was notified immediately. In cooperation with the Board, BP conducted an incident investigation and environmental studies which involved visual surveys of the water column and seafloor using an ROV, SBM dispersion modelling, and sediment sampling. BP submitted the results of these environmental studies to the Board.  On November 14, 2018 an unplanned release of small quantities of BOP fluids occurred during drilling operations. Verbal and written notification were provided to the Board and an incident summary report was submitted to the Board describing the incident, contributing factors and corrective action.
6.10	In the event of a sub-sea well blowout, the Proponent shall, in addition to condition 6.9, begin the immediate mobilization of at least one capping stack and associated equipment to the project area to stop the spill.	Drilling	28-Apr-18	11-Dec-18	Prior to commencement of drilling, as part of the Operations Authorization application submitted to the Board, BP prepared a Capping and Containment Response Plan which outlines the procedure for the initiation, mobilization and deployment of a primary capping stack and back-up capping stack, if required. During the course of drilling there was no event which required the mobilization of a capping stack and associated equipment.
6.11	In the event of accidents and malfunctions, the Proponent shall comply with the <i>Compensation Guidelines Respecting Damages Relating to Offshore Petroleum Activity</i> issued jointly by the Canada-Newfoundland and Labrador Offshore Petroleum Board and the Canada-Nova Scotia Offshore Petroleum Board.	Drilling	7-Mar-18	11-Dec-18	There were no accidents or malfunctions that occurred during the drilling of Aspy resulting in damages requiring compensation, as per the <i>Compensation Guidelines Respecting Damages Relating to Offshore Petroleum Activity</i> . BP has not received any claims for compensation.
<b>WELL TESTING PHASE</b>					
4.2	The Proponent shall notify the Board at least 30 days in advance of planned flaring to determine whether the flaring would occur during a period of migratory bird vulnerability and how the Proponent plans to avoid adverse environmental effects on migratory birds.	Well Testing	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	There was no well testing or flaring during the drilling of the exploration well.
4.3	The Proponent shall implement measures to avoid harming, killing or disturbing migratory birds, including: 4.3.1 restricting flaring to the minimum required to characterize the wells' hydrocarbon potential and as necessary for the safety of the operation; 4.3.2 minimizing flaring during night time and during periods of migratory bird vulnerability; 4.3.3 operating a water-curtain barrier around the flare during flaring.	Well Testing	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	There was no well testing or flaring during the drilling of the exploration well.
<b>WELL SUSPENSION/ABANDONMENT PHASE</b>					
2.8	The Proponent shall, within 90 days after each well is suspended and/or abandoned, submit to the Board and the Agency a report, including an executive summary of the report in both official languages. The Proponent shall document in the report: 2.8.1 the activities undertaken by the Proponent to comply with each of the conditions set out in this Decision Statement; 2.8.2 how the Proponent complied with condition 2.1; 2.8.3 for conditions set out in this Decision Statement for which consultation is a requirement, how the Proponent considered any views and information that the Proponent received during or as a result of the consultation; 2.8.4 the follow-up information referred to in conditions 2.4 and 2.5; 2.8.5 the results of the follow-up requirements identified in conditions 3.12, 3.13 and 4.5; 2.8.6 any modified or additional mitigation measures implemented or proposed to be implemented by the Proponent, as determined under condition 2.6.	Well Suspension / Abandonment	22-Apr-18	8-Mar-19	The CEEA Conditions Closure Report and associated Executive Summary (in both English and French) was submitted on March 8, 2019 to fulfill the requirements of condition 2.8.

Scotian Basin Exploration Drilling Project - Aspy D11					
CEAA Condition #	Condition	Project Phase	Commencement Date	Completion Date	Activity Description/Verification (March 21, 2019)
5.2	The Proponent shall develop a well abandonment plan, including a wellhead abandonment strategy, and submit it to the Board for acceptance at least 30 days prior to abandonment of each well. If the Proponent proposes that a wellhead be abandoned on the seafloor in a manner that may interfere with Indigenous and commercial fisheries, the Proponent shall develop the wellhead abandonment strategy in consultation with Indigenous and commercial fishers.	Well Suspension / Abandonment	20-Oct-17	28-Nov-18	As part of the application to the Board for an Approval to Drill a Well submitted in November 2017, BP submitted a Plug and Abandonment Plan indicating it would seek approval to leave the wellhead in place on the seafloor for the Aspy well after plugging and abandonment.  The wellhead abandonment strategy indicating BP's preference to abandon the well with the wellhead left in place and the process of well plugging and abandonment was shared with Indigenous groups in February 2018. Also, in February 2018 an informational handout on the Project, which provided an overview of Project activities, the regulatory approval process, well drilling and abandonment, the Fisheries Communication Plan, and well control and spill response planning was emailed to fisheries representatives identified under the Fisheries Communication Plan. The Wellhead Abandonment Strategy was also shared on BP's Internet website ( <a href="https://www.bp.com/content/dam/bp-country/en_ca/canada/documents/NS_Drilling_Pgm/Well%20Abandonment%20Strategy_02202018.pdf">https://www.bp.com/content/dam/bp-country/en_ca/canada/documents/NS_Drilling_Pgm/Well%20Abandonment%20Strategy_02202018.pdf</a> ) prior to commencement of drilling.
5.4	The proponent shall provide Indigenous groups with the results of the pre-drill surveys referred to in condition 3.6 and the results of the activities undertaken as part of the marine mammal observation requirements referred to in condition 3.9 within 90 days after each well is suspended and/or abandoned.	Well Suspension / Abandonment	22-Apr-18	7-Feb-19	As part of ongoing engagement efforts, BP met with interested Indigenous groups to discuss follow-up and monitoring programs (refer to condition 2.4). During meetings with Indigenous groups in June and September/October 2018, BP provided updates on the implementation of follow-up programs which included sharing photos from the pre-drill and post-riserless drilling ROV video surveys.  In February 2019, BP met with the WNNB and member communities (February 4), MTI (February 5) and the KMKNO (February 7) to discuss preliminary results from each follow-up program. As indicated in Condition 3.9, BP did not conduct a VSP survey and therefore did not implement the <i>Marine Mammal and Sea Turtle Monitoring, Mitigation and Reporting Management Plan during Vertical Seismic Profiling</i> .
<b>ALL PHASES</b>					
2.1	The Proponent shall ensure that its actions in meeting the conditions set out in this Decision Statement are considered in a careful and precautionary manner, promote sustainable development, are informed by the best information and knowledge available at the time the Proponent takes action, including community and Indigenous traditional knowledge, are based on methods and models that are recognized by standard-setting bodies, are undertaken by qualified individuals, and have applied the best available economically and technically feasible technologies.	All	1-Feb-18	8-Feb-19	BP has met all the conditions outlined in the Decision Statement. BP's Health, Safety, Security and Environment (HSSE) goals are simply stated – no accidents, no harm to people, and no damage to the environment. Delivery of these goals underpins the realization of our strategic vision to be the operator of choice in the basins in which we operate through delivering safe, reliable, and competitive wells. Operating in accordance with BP's Operating Management System (OMS) promotes an improvement in environmental performance and supports BP's commitment to its HSSE goals. BP uses the OMS framework to manage and reduce risks throughout its activities globally and continually improves the quality of its operating activities. In addition, compliance with BP's Code of Conduct, which focuses on core values of Safety, Respect, Excellence, Courage and One Team, ensures that BP operates in a careful and precautionary manner and promotes sustainable development. BP's staff and contractors are expected to conduct business in accordance with these core values.  Engaging regulatory agencies, Indigenous groups, stakeholders and the public through the planning and execution of this drilling program has allowed BP to gain feedback to validate environmental performance.
2.2	The Proponent shall, where consultation is a requirement of a condition set out in this Decision Statement: 2.2.1 provide a written notice of the opportunity for the party or parties being consulted to present their views and information on the subject of the consultation; 2.2.2 provide sufficient information on the scope and the subject matter of the consultation and a reasonable period of time to permit the party or parties being consulted to prepare their views and information; 2.2.3 undertake impartial consideration of all views and information presented by the party or parties being consulted on the subject matter of the consultation; 2.2.4 advise in a timely manner the party or parties being consulted on how the views and information received have been considered by the Proponent.	All	3-Nov-17	7-Feb-19	BP strives to build enduring relationships with communities in the countries where it works and recognizes the importance of early and ongoing Indigenous and stakeholder engagement that continues over the life of the Project. BP initiated engagement activities related to the Project in 2015, with activities evolving to fulfill five key iterative steps: inform, engage, understand, review and inform (feedback). Since the release of the Decision Statement, BP's engagement activities have focused primarily on keeping Indigenous groups and stakeholders informed on Project activities and seeking input/feedback on conditions related to follow-up and monitoring. The majority of routine communications during Project operations were addressed through implementation of BP's Fisheries Communication Plan and Indigenous Fisheries Communication Plan.  Where consultation was required by a condition set out in this Decision Statement, BP provided written notice (email) of the opportunity for consultation including proposed meeting agenda items, and seeking feedback on when, where and how consultation would take place. In cases where BP requested input and/or feedback for the preparation of a plan or monitoring program, consulted parties were given a proposed timeline for response. Where views and information and/or questions were raised by consulted parties, BP followed up on these items by email and/or addressed in subsequent meetings.
2.3	The Proponent shall, where consultation with Indigenous groups is a requirement of a condition set out in this Decision Statement, communicate with each Indigenous group with respect to the manner by which to satisfy the consultation requirements referred to in condition 2.2, including methods of notification, the type of information, the period of time to be provided when seeking input, the process to be used by the Proponent to undertake impartial consideration of all views and information presented on the subject of the consultation, the period of time to advise Indigenous groups on how their views and information were considered by the Proponent and the means by which Indigenous groups will be advised.	All	3-Nov-17	7-Feb-19	Refer to response provided for in Condition 2.2.

Scotian Basin Exploration Drilling Project - Aspy D11					
CEAA Condition #	Condition	Project Phase	Commencement Date	Completion Date	Activity Description/Verification (March 21, 2019)
2.4	With respect to the follow-up program that is to be implemented in respect of the Designated Project, the Proponent shall, for each condition where follow-up is required, determine in consultation with Indigenous groups, the following information: 2.4.1 the methodology, location, frequency, timing and duration of monitoring associated with the follow-up; 2.4.2 the scope, content and frequency of reporting of the follow-up results; 2.4.3 the levels of environmental change relative to baseline conditions that would require the Proponent to implement modified or additional mitigation measure(s), including instances where the Proponent may require Designated Project activities to be stopped; 2.4.4 the technically and economically feasible mitigation measures to be implemented by the Proponent if monitoring conducted as part of the follow-up shows that the levels of environmental change referred to in condition 2.4.3 are reached or exceeded.	All	2-Feb-18	7-Feb-19	As part of the Project, BP conducted follow-up programs for fish and fish habitat, marine mammals and sea turtles, and migratory birds. These programs were proposed by BP in the EIS and were specified as conditions in the Decision Statement. Building upon previous engagement regarding environmental monitoring for the development of the Project EIS, and in accordance with conditions 2.2 and 2.4, BP initiated engagement on the follow-up programs in January 2018 with a proposed agenda and the delivery of informational handouts in advance of face-to-face meetings.  In response to feedback received during meetings with Indigenous groups in June 2018, requesting ability to review draft plans prior to finalization, BP emailed a draft final copy of the <i>Marine Mammal and Sea Turtle Monitoring, Mitigation, and Reporting Management Plan</i> during VSP (MMMP) to MTI, KMKNO, Sipekne'katik First Nation, Millbrook, MCPEI and WNNB (and member communities). Comments were received from MTI, KMKNO and WNNB, resulting in revisions to the MMMP. Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) and CNSOPB were notified of changes resulting from Indigenous feedback, and the final MMMP was posted publicly on BP's Internal website on September 11, 2018. Notification of the posting was made to Indigenous groups on September 11, 2018 and shared in subsequent meetings. BP decided to not conduct a VSP survey so the MMMP was not implemented and has subsequently been removed from the publicly available website.  In some cases (e.g., verification of drill waste deposition, acoustic monitoring), follow-up programs involved gathering data which would help inform future exploration drilling activities, including, but not limited to, potential future wells on the Project. In other cases (e.g., measuring concentration of synthetic-based drilling fluids, stranded bird monitoring), monitoring programs provided real-time data, offering opportunities to influence current Project activities as needed to reduce environmental changes.  In February 2019, BP met with the KMNO, MTI, WNNB and member communities to discuss preliminary results of the follow-up programs. Based on the findings of the follow-up programs, there was no environmental change that warrants the implementation of modified or additional mitigation measures for future exploration drilling on the Project.
2.10	The Proponent shall notify the Agency and Indigenous groups in writing no later than 60 days after the day on which there is a transfer of ownership, care, control or management of the Designated Project in whole or in part.	All	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	BP Canada Energy Group ULC and Hess Canada Oil and Gas ULC are joint venturers with an equal share in the Project. The Aspy well was drilled and abandoned by BP as operator. At this time, the Project is not expected to be undertaken by another party. If there is a transfer of ownership, care, control or management of the Project in whole or in part while the Designated Project is still active, BP will notify the Agency and Indigenous groups in writing no later than 60 days after the transfer has occurred.
2.11	The Proponent shall consult with Indigenous groups prior to initiating any material change(s) to the Designated Project that may result in adverse environmental effects, and shall notify the Board and the Agency in writing prior to initiating the change(s), to determine an appropriate course of action related to the material change(s).	All	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	There were no material changes to the Designated Project during the drilling of the Aspy well.
2.12	In notifying the Board and the Agency pursuant to condition 2.11, the Proponent shall provide the Board and the Agency with a description of the potential adverse environmental effects of the change(s) to the Designated Project, the proposed mitigation measures and follow-up requirements to be implemented by the Proponent and the results of the consultation with Indigenous groups.	All	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	See response to condition 2.11.
5.3	The Proponent shall provide the details of its operation, including the safety exclusion zones during drilling and testing, and the location information of abandoned wellheads if left on the seafloor to the Marine Communications and Traffic Services for broadcasting and publishing in the Notices to Shipping, and to the Canadian Hydrographic Services for future nautical charts and planning.	All	15-Apr-18	22-Feb-19	BP provided notice of rig mobilization, commencement of drilling and establishment of the exclusion safety zone, rig demobilization and well abandonment through email notifications to Marine Communications and Traffic Services for broadcasting and publishing in the Notices to Shipping (April 15, 2018). Following abandonment of the wellhead on the seafloor, BP provided the location of the abandoned wellhead to Canadian Hydrographic Services for publication in a Notice to Mariners (December 20, 2018, December 25, 2018 and February 22, 2019).
6.6	The Proponent shall review the Spill Response Plan during drilling of each well and update it as required on an ongoing basis and to the satisfaction of the Board.	All	22-Apr-18	11-Dec-18	No updates were required for the Oil Spill Response Plan during the drilling of the Aspy well. If additional wells are to be drilled as part of the Project, the Oil Spill Response Plan will be reviewed and updated as required and to the satisfaction of the Board.
7.2	The Proponent shall notify the Board and the Agency of any changes to the implementation schedule required under condition 7.1 prior to implementation of the changes, if feasible, and shall not implement the changes unless accepted by the Board.	All	29-Mar-18	21-Mar-19	This final implementation schedule was submitted to the Board on March 21, 2019. Over the course of the well, BP updated the implementation schedule to reflect changes in the drilling schedule and in activities relating to each condition. Any changes to the scope and/or timing of activities were discussed and accepted by the Board.
8.1	The Proponent shall maintain all records relevant to the implementation of the conditions set out in this Decision Statement, including any records that the Board considers relevant. The Proponent shall provide the aforementioned records to the Board or the Agency upon demand within a timeframe specified by the Board or the Agency.	All	1-Feb-18	11-Dec-18 +5 years = 11-Dec-23	All Project documentation related to the implementation of the conditions set out in the Decision Statement is accessible in a digital format and stored on a Project SharePoint site internal to BP. This information can be made available to the Board or the Agency upon request and discussion with BP.



Scotian Basin Exploration Drilling Project - Aspy D11					
CEAA Condition #	Condition	Project Phase	Commencement Date	Completion Date	Activity Description/Verification (March 21, 2019)
8.2	The Proponent shall retain all records referred to in condition 8.1 at a facility in Canada. The Proponent shall retain the records and make them available for a minimum of five years after completion of the Designated Project, unless otherwise specified by the Board. The Proponent shall notify the Board and the Agency at least 30 days prior to any change to the location of the facility where the records are retained, and shall provide the address of the new location to the Board and the Agency.	All	1-Feb-18	11-Dec-18 +5 years = 11-Dec-23	BP will retain all records referred to in condition 8.1 at a facility in Canada for the period required by condition 8.2, unless otherwise specified by the Board. BP will make necessary notifications to the Board and the Agency if the records are to be moved to a new location.